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## Newport News, Va



## Building Statistics

- Function Type: Office/Research/Shipbuilding Facility
- Size: 241,000 Square Feet
- Dates of Construction: Dec. 1999—Feb. 2002
- Project Delivery: Design - Bid - Build
- Project Cost: \$58 million


## Architecture

The office building is 8 stories enclosed by a curtain wall, curved into the shape of a bow, giving it the appearance of a large ship looming over the James

## MEP

- $480 \mathrm{~V}-208 \mathrm{y} / 120 \mathrm{~V}$ power distribution system
- 100 KW and 28.5 tons required air cooling
- 125 KW and 125 GPM required for water cooling


## Project Team

All Architecture Landscape Architecture and Engineering were completed by Clark Nexsen

## Structure

- Bulkheads were designed as an anchored bulkhead which consisted of a protective coating on hot-rolled Z-shaped steel sheet piles with continuous, reinforced cast-in-place concrete cap.
- 12 " $\times 12$ " precast, prestressed concrete piles
- K braced frame with columns ranging from W14x82 to W14x159 with diagonal bracing ranging from $H$ SS $8 \times 8 \times 1 / 4$ to $H S S ~ 12 \times 12 \times 1 / 2$
- Steel girders range from W $12 \times 14$ to $W 24 \times 55$
- 8 " precast wall panels and 6 " slab on grade with w/ $6 \times 6$ w 2.9 xw 2.9 WWF used in laboratory wing
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## Executive Summary

The Virginia Advanced Shipbuilding \& Carrier Integration Center (VASCIC) is home to Northrop Grumman, a leading global security company and is used as the company's base for designing and testing ships. The thesis study performed includes the complete structural redesign of the office building and analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of this redesign. The office building originally used steel wide flange columns and beams and a composite steel deck to support gravity loads and two steel member k-braced frames to resist lateral loads. The thesis redesign will be a design using two-way reinforced concrete slabs with drop panels as well as reinforced concrete columns to resist gravity loads and reinforced concrete shear walls to resist lateral loads.

A complete redesign of the column layout was done as well. The original layout of the columns led to many irregularly shaped bays. The new column layout made use of a standard grid system in order to obtain more rectangular bays. Rectangular bays are easier to work with, design, and construct and an attempt to keep as many bays as possible in a rectangular shape was made.

The effect this redesign had on the architecture of the building was considered as well. The redesign of the column layout had a large effect on the architecture. More columns needed to be created due to the new grid layout in order to keep the bays at a reasonable size. The VASCIC looked light and open architecturally and used steel to easily satisfy the look. This was taken into consideration when redesigning the building using concrete. Column sizes would potentially be increased and take up more space within the floor plan. To make sure the large concrete columns did not dominate the building they were placed in open areas that would ultimately make the columns look small in comparison. The redesign of the floor system allowed for a reduction in height by 5 feet. The original floor-to-floor heights were kept unchanged to keep the architecture in this aspect intact.

The second breadth conducted was a cost analysis of the structural systems. A cost analysis was done for the existing steel structure as well as the new concrete redesign. Both were compared to see which design was cheaper. After completing the cost analysis, the costs of each design was analyzed and different factors as to why one was chosen over the other were considered.

A further depth topic of flood control was considered as well. The VASCIC is located on the shore of the James River. Flood loads were taken into consideration and a flood-retention system was created. A levee system was designed using slurry walls to prevent seepage.

Throughout the rest of the report, the existing system and building will be referred to as the "current" or "existing" system or building. The thesis redesign will be referred to as the "redesign" system or building.
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## Introduction

The Virginia Advanced Shipbuilding and Carrier Integration Center was designed by Clark Nexsen. The project consists of two main buildings: the office building and the lab wing complete with lab parking and a parking deck. The office building is a typical composite steel frame design. The steel frame grid consists of wide flange beams and columns that range from W12x14 to W18x40. The Lab wing consists of concrete slab with concrete columns and precast concrete walls.

The office building is elevated on piles of concrete made of concrete piles surrounding wide flange steel columns.


Source: Clark Nexsen
The first floor consists of a 5 " reinforced concrete slab in the main office area, an 8 " reinforced concrete slab at the front of the building and a 6 " reinforced concrete slab in the stairwells. The rest of the floors consist of a grid of wide flange steel columns and beams that is shaped into the unique curved design of the Virginia Advanced Shipbuilding and Carrier Integration Center. The composite steel deck and slab is 4.5 " in total thickness and consists of lightweight concrete placed on a 2 " deep, .038 " thick galvanized steel deck.

The lab wing consists of 24 "x24" precast concrete columns, 8 " precast lightweight concrete walls, and 4 " reinforced concrete slabs. The roof of the lab wing consists of gable trusses with steel deck.

Throughout this thesis analysis, the existing conditions of both the lab wing and office building will be analyzed. However, due to height restraints, only the office building will be investigated further.

## Structural Systems Overview

## 1. Foundation

## A. Office Building

The foundation of the office building consists of a wide-flange steel column on a concrete pedestal. These concrete pedestal/steel column arrangements are placed around the perimeter of the office building in a shape that resembles a football. The soil condition on the site consists of unstable soil do to the waterfront location of the building. This shape is repeated for interior columns as well. Figure 1 shows the plan view of the concrete pedestal/steel column arrangement and Figure 2 shows the section view.

FIGURE 1 -CONC. PEDESTAL PLAN

## FIGURE 2 - CONC.PEDESTAL SECTION



Source: Clark-Nexsen


Source: Clark-Nexsen

The concrete used in these arrangements is 3000 psi concrete. It is reinforced by \#4 ties at 10 "O.C, a 2 "x 4 " shear key, and 16 \#8 steel rebar. These concrete piles support the wide flange columns that are placed on them and connected with steel plates and anchor rods.

Two grade beams are used in the foundation of the office building. These grade beams are used to resist lateral column base movement as well as distribute the weight of the building over the soil. These grade beams are important due to the unstable soil condition on the site. Lateral column base movement is important in this project as it is on the coast of the James River. A bulkhead of steel sheet pile had to be constructed to resist the water pressure of the river as well as to provide slope stability and increase
bearing capacity for the building foundation. They also serve to increase the bearing capacity for the building foundation. The grade beams are used to further this insurance that the building will not be affected by the river. Table 1 shows the width, depth and reinforcing of these grade bars.

## TABLE 1: GRADE BEAM SCHEDULE

| GRADE BEAM SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MARK | WIDTH | DEPTH | TOP BARS | BOTTOM BARS | STIRRUPS |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | SIZE | SPACING |
| GB1 | $22^{\prime \prime}$ | $46^{\prime \prime}$ | 4-\#8 | 4-\#8 | \#4 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ O.C. |
| GB2 | $20^{\prime \prime}$ | $50^{\prime \prime}$ | 4 - \#7 | 4-\#7 | \#4 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ O.C. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Source: Clark-Nexsen

Figure 3 shows the locations of the grade beams. GB1 is indicated in blue and GB 3 is indicated in red.

FIGURE 3 - GRADE BEAM LOCATION


Source: Clark-Nexsen

## B. Lab Wing

The lab wing foundation consists of concrete pillars attached to concrete footing. The pillars, which are continuous in length, contain \#5 rebar at $12 "$ O.C. and are attached to the footing by a lateral pin. Figure 4 shows the plan view of the concrete pillars.

FIGURE 4 - CONC. PILLAR PLAN


Source: Clark-Nexsen
The concrete used in the pillars for the lab wing are 3000psi concrete. They support precast concrete walls. The footings that support these walls are continuous in length. They range from $2^{\prime}-0$ " wide by $1^{\prime}-0$ " thick to $7^{\prime}-0$ " by $1^{\prime}-0$ ". Table 2 shows the footing schedule. The "A" bars indicate reinforcing in concrete deposited against the ground. The "B" bars indicate reinforcing in the concrete exposed to earth or weather.

## TABLE 2: FOOTING SCHEDULE

| FOOTING SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MARK | DIMENSIONG |  |  | REINFORCEMENT |  | NOTES |  |
|  | W | 1 | T | 'A' BARS | 'B' BARS |  |  |
| CF2.0 | 2-0'0 | CONT. | $10^{1}$ | (2) \#5's CONT. | \#5's 4-0'OK | 1 |  |
| CF 3.0 | $3{ }^{-1}$ | CONT. | $\mathrm{H}^{1-1}$ | (3) \#f's CONT. | \#5's A 4-O"OK | 1 |  |
| CFA.0 | 4-0" | CONT. | $10^{1}$ | (4) \#5's CONT. |  | 1 | 2 |
| CF7.0 | ${ }^{7-0}$ | CONT. | $1^{1-0}$ | (E) \#5's CONT. | \#5's é ok | 1 | 2 |
| F4.0×4.0 | $4^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 4-0" | $1-0^{\circ}$ | (6) \#4*9 | (6) \#4's | 1 |  |
| F8.5×8.5 | 81-6" | ${ }^{3-6 "}$ | ${ }^{1-8}$ | (7) 77 's | (7) \#7 7 s | 1 |  |

## Source: Clark Nexsen

The lab wing also contains a 23 "wide by 30 " deep grade beam, GB1 along vertical grid line 1.5 .

## 2. Floor System

## A. Office Building

The floor system of the office building is consistent from the second floor to the seventh floor. These floors contain 4.5 " total thickness composite steel deck and slab. This slab consists of lightweight concrete placed on a 2" deep, . 038 " thick galvanized steel deck. The steel deck conforms to ASTM A653-94 specifications and has a minimum yield strength of 33 ksi . The beams are wide flange steel beams arranged in various grids that form together to fit the curved shape of the building. Figure 5 shows the floor plan from floor 2 to floor 7.

FIGURE 5 - FLOOR PLAN: FLOOR 2-7


Source: Clark-Nexsen
The first floor of the office building contains three separate load-bearing reinforced concrete slabs. The first slab is at the center of the building. It consists of a 5 " slab on grade with $6 x 6-\mathrm{W} 2.9 \mathrm{xW} 2.9$ WWF placed on 6 " porous fill.

There is also a triangular slab in the back of the building. This slab is 8 " slab on grade with \#4 bars at $12 "$ O.C. Finally, there is a slab on the floor of the stairwells. These slabs are a 6 " slab on grade with $6 \times 6-W 2.9 x W 2.9$ WWF. Figure 6 shows the first floor plan. The 5 " slab is outlined in blue, the 8 " inch slab is outlined in red, and $6 "$ slab is outlined in green.

FIGURE 6 - FIRST FLOOR PLAN


Source: Clark-Nexsen
B. Lab Wing

The lab wing consists of a 4 " reinforced concrete slab. The slab is reinforced with $6 \times 6$ W2.0xW2.0 WWF. This concrete used in the slab is 4000 psi.

## 3. Roof System

## A. Office Building

The roof structure of the office building is $1 \frac{1}{2}$ " corrugated composite steel deck. The deck sits on wide flange steel roof beams. Figure 7 shows the section view of the roof. FIGURE 7 - ROOF SECTION


Source: Clark-Nexsen

## B. Lab Wing

The roof of the lab wing involves gable trusses, spanning between concrete columns. The gable trusses are constructed using WT9x25, L2 $1 / 2 \times 21 / 2 \times 3 / 16$, and W8x28 steel members. On the gable trusses is a $20 \mathrm{GA} 1 \frac{1}{2}$ " deep wide rib roof deck. Figure 8 shows a section view of the gable trusses.

FIGURE 8 - GABLE TRUSSES


Source: Clark-Nexsen
There is also a special truss located along column line 2.5. For these trusses, bottom chord members are W8x31 and the top chord members are WT9x27.5.

## 4. Columns

## A. Office Building

The office building contains steel wide flange columns. 42 columns are arranged to fit the curved shape of the building. The columns used are W8, W10, W12, and W14 steel members. These wide flange columns are encased by concrete piles on the foundation to provide extra structural stability. This is important on the foundation because, as previously stated, the building is raised off the ground to provide protection against flooding. The number of piles used for each column varies from 2 to 9 .

These columns on floors 1 to 7 direct gravity loads to the foundation where the columns and concrete piles direct the loads to the earth's foundation.

## B. Lab Wing

The lab wing uses concrete columns. These columns vary in size, with the most common size being $24 " x 24 "$ precast concrete. The columns are accompanied by concrete piles at the foundation in order to provide extra strength at the foundation of the building.

## 5. Lateral System

## A. Office Building

The lateral system of the office building consists of a " $K$ " braced frame. This braced frame occurs at column lines 3 and 9 . The frame consists of wide-flange steel members as well as HSS steel members. The wide-flange members are used as columns. The HSS members are used as diagonal bracing. The wide-flange members are W 14 and range from W14x82 at the top, W14x90 in the middle, and W14x159 at the bottom. The HSS members range from HSS $8 \times 8$ at the top to HSS $10 \times 10$ in the middle, and finally HSS $12 \times 12$ at the bottom.
" X " bracing is used in three bays of this structure: the outer bays on the bottom level as well as the middle bay in the penthouse level. " X " bracing is used on these floor as added bracing because of the loads on the floors. As discussed later in the "Wind Load" section, the penthouse sees the highest load in psf from wind. The penthouse also lacks the outer bays to help deflect the load like the floors below it have. The bays on the bottom level have the added weight of the floors above to take into consideration. The " X " bracing allows one diagonal brace to be in tension and one to be in compression. Figure 9 shows the location of the " K " braced frame and Figure 10 shows the " K " braced frame in elevation.

## FIGURE 9 - K-BRACE FRAME LOCATIONS



Source: Clark-Nexsen

FIGURE 10 - K-BRACED FRAME: ELEVATION


Source: Clark-Nexsen

The unique design of the building caters to the shape of the frame. The outer bays are perpendicular to the load and transfer the load to the middle bays as well as down through the cross bracing. Figure 11 shows the load path of the frame.

FIGURE 11 - Source: K_BRACED FRAME: LOAD PATH


## B. Lab Wing

The lateral support for the lab wing is provided by shear walls. 8 " precast lightweight concrete walls are used as sheer walls throughout the lab wing of the building. These walls combine with the concrete slabs to provide lateral support for the building.

## 6. Structural Details

## A. Sandwich Wall

The lab wing makes use of concrete sandwich walls. Sandwich walls are resistant to many important forces of nature including, earthquakes, hurricanes, heat, cold, and flooding. Flooding is the most important natural force in the situation of the Virginia Advanced Shipbuilding \& Carrier Integration Center. As stated earlier, the office building is raised with thick concrete piles to avoid problems caused by the flooding of the James River. The lab wall instead makes use of the sandwich wall in order to defend against flooding. Figure 12 shows the sandwich wall in section.

FIGURE 12 - SANDWICH WALL: SECTION


Source: http://www.cswall.com/CSW/Walls/index.htm

## B. Column Splice Connections

The height of the office building makes it necessary for column splice connections to be used. Figure 13 shows the typical column splice details.

FIGURE 13 - TYPICAL COLUMN SPLICE DETAILS


## Source: Clark-Nexsen

It is important to note the variance of the connections from the W8 to the W14 columns. A325 bolts are used. Also, 2 channels are used for columns over 30'-0" long or over 100lb/ft.

## 7. Conclusions on Structural System

The first thing that was noticed when looking at the structural drawings is the vast difference between the office building and the lab wing. The office building makes use of steel columns and beams as well as diagonal steel bracing. The lab wing, however, makes use of concrete slabs and concrete columns as well as shear walls and sandwich walls.

Flooding is an important natural force that had to be accounted for in the structural design of the building. The building had to be designed to withstand flood loads. The use of large concrete areas on the ground floor are designed to resist these loads. The ground floor does not contain offices or any rooms. Instead, the offices are located above flood levels in the floors above the ground floor. This allowed the ground floor to keep an open feel to it even with the large areas of concrete. The office building makes use of stilts and thick concrete piles to remain above flood level. The Lab wing, however, makes use of sandwich walls.

The use of steel in the office building is most likely due to the architect wanting to keep the office building more open and spacious and not have to worry about large, cramping concrete columns. The steel columns and beams are complimented by the curtain wall that engulfs the building. This provides a light, spacious, and well-lit office building.

The lab wing, on the other hand, is designed as a seemingly heavier, less spacious building. Most business will be taking place in the office building and it is clear that the designer wanted the office building to feel more welcoming. The parking deck makes use of concrete because it is most likely cheaper to design a parking deck out of concrete. Also, while the laboratories will be operated during the day, they make more use of artificial lighting and rely less on natural light.

## Design Codes and Standards

The design of the Virginia Advanced Shipbuilding \& Carrier Integration Center followed the following codes:

The BOCA National Building Code - 1996
AISC Manual of Steel Construction, Load and Resistance Factor Design, Second Edition ACI 318-95 Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete

This report will make use of the following codes and standards
ASCE/SEI 7-05 - Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures
This text will be referred to as ASCE 7-05 from now within the report. ASCE 7-05 was used to determine appropriate Live Loads, Wind Loads, Snow Loads, Seismic Loads, Flood Loads, as well as Load Factoring and Live Load Reduction.

## AISC Steel Construction Manual Thirteenth Edition

This text well be referred to as AISC from now on within the report. AISC was used to determine loads as well as sizes of steel beams and columns. LRFD was used in the calculation and determination of these loads and steel member sizes.

ACI 318-08 Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete
This text will be referred to as ACI 318-08 from now within the report. ACE 318-08 was used to determine loads as well as sizes of concrete structural aspects including slabs and load bearing precast concrete walls as well as concrete columns.

John Boyle
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## Material Properties

Reinforced Concrete

| TYPE | F'c | Aggregate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Slab on Grade | 4000 psi | Normal Weight |
| Walls | 4000 psi | Light Weight |
| Grade Beams | 3000 psi | Normal Weight |
| Pile Caps | 3000 psi | Normal Weight |
| Composite Deck Fill | 3000 psi | Lightweight |
| All Other Concrete | 3000 psi | Normal Weight |

Structural Steel

| Shape | Fy (KSI) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Wide Flanges | 50 |
| Rectangular HSS members | 46 |
| WT members | 50 |
| Channels | 50 |
| Connectors - Angles | 36 |
| Connectors - Angles | 36 |

## Gravity and Lateral Loads

## 1. Live Loads

Live Loads for the project were in accordance with the following. Live loads were determined using ASCE 7-05 S4.
A. Office Building

| OCCUPANCY | DESIGN LOAD (psf) | THESIS LOAD (psf) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Penthouse Roof | 20 | 20 |
| Low Roof | 80 | 60 |
| Penthouse Floor | 125 | 125 |
| Offices | 80 | 50 |
| Conference Rooms | 100 | 100 |
| Corridors | 100 | 80 |
| Stairs | 100 | 100 |
| Toilets | 75 | 75 |

B. Lab Wing

| OCCUPANCY | DESIGN LOAD (psf) | THESIS LOAD (psf) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Antenna Tower Roof | 100 | 100 |
| Antenna Tower Room Floor | 125 | 125 |
| Auditorium | 60 | 60 |
| Cafeteria | 100 | 100 |
| Catwalks/Elevated Walkways | 60 | 60 |
| Corridors (1 ${ }^{\text {ti }}$ floor) | 100 | 100 |
| Corridors (above 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ floor) | 100 | 80 |
| Exterior Service Yard | 300 | 300 |
| Garages | 50 | 40 |
| Laboratory (Elevated Floor) | 300 | 300 |
| Laboratory (Floor on Grade) | 600 | 600 |
| Laboratory (Storage Area on $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> floor) | 250 | 250 |
| Mechanical/Electrical Equipment <br> Rooms | 125 | 125 |
| Patio | 100 | 100 |
| Patio Planters (Dead Load) | 400 | 400 |
| Roof (UON) | 20 | 20 |
| Stairs \& Exits | 100 | 100 |
| Concrete Load | ¹⁄2 SF |  |

## 2. Dead Loads

| LOAD TYPE | LOAD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Normal Weight Concrete | 150 pcf |
| Lightweight Concrete | 120 pcf |
| MEP | 10 psf |
| Partitions | 20 psf |
| Finishes | 10 psf |
| Curtain Wall | 15 psf |

## 3. Wind Loads

BOCA 1996 was used as the resource for wind calculations for the existing design. My analysis, however, will make use of ASCE 7-05 chapter 6. Section 6.5 (Method 2 -
Analytical Procedure), specifically section 6.5.3 (Design procedure), was used as a guide for the calculation of wind load.

## Basic Wind Data

- Location: Newport News, VA
- Exposure: D (Building at Shoreline)
- Occupancy: III


## Design Procedure

- Basic Wind Speed $(\mathrm{V})=90 \mathrm{mph}$ from Fig. 6-1
- Importance factor $(\mathrm{I})=1.0$ from fig 6-1
- Exposure Category = D from Section 6.5.6.3
- Directionality Factor $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=.85$ from table 6-4
- Topographic Factor $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{zt}}\right)=1.0$ from section 6.5.7
- Gust Effect Factor (G) $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}=1.003$ from section 6.5 .8 (see appendices for calculation)
- Internal Pressure Coefficient $\left(\mathrm{GC}_{\mathrm{pi}}\right)= \pm .18$ from figure 6-5
- Velocity Pressure $\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)=25.204 @ 6^{\text {th }} \overline{\text { floor from section } 6.5 .10 \text { (see appendices for }}$ calculation)
- Velocity Pressure $\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)=26.421$ @ mean roof height from section 6.5.10 (see appendices for calculation)

TABLE 3: Wind Loads

|  | Height | Kz | qz | P | Height <br> Difference | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First | 9 | 0.943 | 16.62 | 18.09 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Second | 26.5 | 1.137 | 20.05 | 20.84 | 17.5 | 91.60 |
| Third | 41.83 | 1.231 | 21.70 | 22.17 | 15.33 | 87.19 |
| Fourth | 56.16 | 1.296 | 22.85 | 23.09 | 14.33 | 87.43 |
| Fifth | 70.5 | 1.348 | 23.77 | 23.83 | 14.34 | 89.97 |
| Sixth | 84.83 | 1.393 | 24.54 | 24.45 | 14.33 | 92.11 |
| Seventh | 99.16 | 1.431 | 25.22 | 24.99 | 14.33 | 97.42 |
| Penthouse | 114.5 | 1.467 | 25.86 | 25.50 | 15.34 | 121.17 |
| Roof | 135.21 | 1.510 | 26.62 | 26.11 | 20.71 | 70.30 |

Structural Option
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TABLE 4: Wind Forces

|  | Force (k) | Shear (k) | Moment (ft-k) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ground | 0 | 179 | 0 |
| First | 92 | 175 | 1603 |
| Second | 87 | 177 | 2863 |
| Third | 87 | 182 | 4123 |
| Fourth | 90 | 190 | 5533 |
| Fifth | 92 | 219 | 6984 |
| Sixth | 97 | 191 | 8783 |
| Penthouse | 121 | 70 | 12783 |
| Roof | 70 | 0 | 8873 |

FIGURE 14 - E/W WIND LOAD DIAGRAM


## 4. Seismic Load

My seismic analysis for the Virginia Advanced Shipbuilding \& Carrier Integration Center was done using ASCE 7-05. Newport News, Virginia is not a seismic zone, however it is important to analyze the seismic loads to determine their impact on the structure of the building. The building cost enough and is important enough to the community that, if a freak earthquake were to occur, it is necessary to make sure the building would remain intact.

ASCE 7-05, sections 11, 12, and 22 were of use during the seismic analysis. Calculations for the following values can be found in the appendices. Table 5 shows the seismic forces for each floor.

## Basic Seismic Information

- Location: Newport News, VA
- Site Class: D
- Importance Factor: 1


## Design Procedure

- $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}=.123$ from USGS website
- $S_{1}=.049$ from USGS website
- $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.6$ from table 11.4-4
- $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}=2.4$ from table 11.4-2
- $\quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MS}}=.1968$
- $\quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M} 1}=.1176$
- $\quad S_{\text {DS }}=.1312$
- $\quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}=.0784$
- $\quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}}=.028$ from table 12.8-2
- $\quad \mathrm{x}=.8$ from table $12.8-2$
- $\quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.419$
$-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}=.598$
- $\mathrm{R}=8$ from table 12.2-1
- $\quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.7$ from table 12.8-1
- $\quad \mathrm{Cs}=.0069$
- $\quad \mathrm{V}=90.37$
- $\quad \mathrm{K}=1.46$ from section 12.8.3

TABLE 5: SEISMIC FORCES

| Floor | Wx $(\mathrm{k})$ | $\mathrm{Hx}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{Hx}^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}$ | $\mathrm{WxHx}^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}$ | $\Sigma \mathrm{WxHx}^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}$ | Cvx | Fx |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| First | 1019 | 9 | 25 | 25186 | 25186 | 0.005 | 0.4 |
| Second | 606 | 26.5 | 120 | 72486 | 97672 | 0.742 | 67.1 |
| Third | 1809 | 41.83 | 233 | 421601 | 519273 | 0.812 | 73.4 |
| Fourth | 1809 | 56.16 | 358 | 648176 | 1167449 | 0.555 | 50.2 |
| Fifth | 1809 | 70.5 | 499 | 903412 | 2070861 | 0.436 | 39.4 |
| Sixth | 1809 | 84.83 | 654 | 1183619 | 3254479 | 0.364 | 32.9 |
| Seventh | 1809 | 99.16 | 822 | 1486555 | 4741034 | 0.314 | 28.3 |
| Penthouse | 598 | 113.5 | 1001 | 597939 | 5338973 | 0.112 | 10.1 |
|  |  |  | Total | 5338973 |  |  |  |

## Problem Statement

As discussed throughout the first three technical reports, the architecture and shape of the building are extremely important to the Virginia Advanced Shipbuilding \& Carrier Integration Center. The building's unique shape, however, leads to confusing column layouts, which in turn leads to even more confusing beam and joist layouts. Some beams seemed to span great distances while other beams spanned only a few feet. This creates a great difference in floor depth throughout the bays as many different sizes of wide flange members are used. One way to fix this problem is to use reinforced concrete columns and slabs as opposed to steel members.

Unfortunately, if a concrete slab is designed for gravity loads, a new lateral resisting system will need to be designed. The existing K-braced frame is not compatible with a concrete floor system as it makes use of steel wide flange and hollow steel section members. Lateral resistance can be accomplished with the use of concrete sheer walls.

## Problem Solution

In order to solve this problem, a new column layout will be investigated. This new column layout will allow for a uniform slab thickness throughout the building. A uniform slab thickness will cut down on construction cost. More importantly, the new column layout will achieve a lower floor thickness. As stated in Technical Report II, the reason concrete was not used for the VASCIC was due to the fact that the office building looked to achieve a light, open feel. The concrete may take away from this idea slightly, however the reduced floor thickness may actually contribute to the look.

Sheer walls will have to be designed in order to create a lateral resistance system that is compatible with the new concrete slab. The symmetrical shape of the building will make this redesign simpler. It will not be difficult to place sheer walls strategically throughout the building in order to achieve minimal to no torsional effect.

## Architectural Breadth

Throughout the redesign of the building, analysis of the impacts on the architecture will be done. The Virginia Advanced Shipbuilding \& Carrier Integration Center is a very architecturally sound building and the key aspects of its architectural appeal were considered when the redesign was being done. The first step in the architectural analysis was consideration of location of the concrete columns during the column layout redesign. Next, the effect of the lower floor thickness was considered. The light, open feel of the building had to be focused on when redesigning the columns and shear walls. Further architectural analysis of each aspect will follow the redesigns in the "Reinforced Concrete Redesign" section.

## Reinforced Concrete Redesign

## 1. Column Layout Redesign

The first step of the concrete redesign includes redesigning the column layout. The original column layout contained irregularly shaped bays which are difficult to work with. For the redesign, a column grid was created. This allowed the bays to be mostly rectangular. Due to the shape of the building, the bays along the outside of the floor plan are triangular. These bays will make the slab and drop panels easier to design as they are more linear and will create a solid grid throughout the building. Figure 15 shows the current column layout, with the purple squares indicating the location of the columns. Figure 16 shows the new column layout.

## FIGURE 15 - CURRENT COLUMN LAYOUT



## FIGURE 16 - NEW COLUMN LAYOUT



## FIGURE 17 - CURRENT COLUMN LAYOUT W/O FLOOR PLAN



## FIGURE 18 - NEW COLUMN LAYOUT W/O FLOOR PLAN



Bays vary in size in the new design. The smallest bay is a $24^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$ triangular bay at the top of the building. The largest bay is $29^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} \times 33^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. Since the redesign included more columns, it was easy for the floor plan to become clustered with columns. Large distances between the columns were used in order to keep the more open feel to the spaces. The column layout is vertically symmetrical about the center of the building; however, horizontally there is a slight difference between the top and bottom of the floor plan. This is due to the fact that the original outer column layout was used in the redesign. The original column layout fits well with the outer shape of the building and was used as the basis for the grid-lines.
Columns were placed with little-to-no interference with the current floor plan. The interference could be fixed easily, by either moving the wall as little as 6 " in some spots or moving a door as little as a foot along a wall. This could easily be done as the original room layout hinged greatly on the location of the existing columns. With the new column layout there would be more freedom to make slight changes to make the walls more compatible with the layout.

## 2. Two Way Flat Slab

The existing floor system is a composite steel deck with concrete topping. The redesign will be a two-way reinforced concrete flat slab using 4,000 psi concrete. Two-way flat plates are commonly used in multi-story construction such as hotels, hospitals, offices, and apartment buildings. They tend to have easy formwork, simple bar placements, and minimize floor to floor heights. This is a good system to analyze because the minimal slab thickness will hopefully allow the office building to keep the light, open look it seeks and accomplished with the composite steel system.

The main advantage of the slab is a severe reduction in floor thickness. The existing system has a total floor thickness of 22.5 inches. The redesign allowed for a max slab thickness of 12 inches with 3 inch drop panels, totaling 15 inches. This is a nearly 8 inch reduction in floor thickness, which could reduce the building height by about 5 feet. Figure 19 shows the slab thickness with drop panels of floors 1-7. Figure 20 shows the slab thickness with drop panels of the penthouse floor.

## FIGURE 19 - SLAB THICKNESS WITH DROP PANELS FLOORS 1-7



FIGURE 20 - SLAB THICKNESS WITH DROP PANELS PENTHOUSE


It was found that a 10 " slab with 3 " drop panels would be required to sustain the loads of the building on the penthouse level.
\#5 bars were used to design the majority of the column strips in the concrete slab. Some smaller bays used \#4 or \#3 bars. The largest column strip was column strip 9E-H and 3E-H which spanned $59^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ and had a tributary width of $9^{\prime}-2^{\prime \prime}$. This strip required 74-\#5bars on the second floor, $66-\# 5$ bars on the third and fourth floors 74 and $66-\# 5$ bars respectively for the fifth floor, and $74-\# 5$ bars for the sixth and seventh floor. The average number of bars for the rest of the building was between 16 and 36 . The column strip designs, tables, and calculations are summed in the Appendices. Table 6 shows the height differential between the current building and the redesigned building.

TABLE 6: HEIGHT DIFFERENTIALS

| FLOOR | HEIGHT (CURRENT BUILDING) | HEIGHT (REDESIGN) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | $0^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $17^{\prime}-6 "$ | $17^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $32^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ | $32^{\prime}-2^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | $47^{\prime}-2 "$ | $45^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | $61^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $59^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ | $73^{\prime}-2^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | $90^{\prime}-2 "$ | $86^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Penthouse | $104^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $99^{\prime}-8 "$ |
| Roof | $126^{\prime}-3 "$ | $122^{\prime}-1 "$ |

## 3. Column Design

The current column design uses wide-flange steel members. The redesign will use square concrete columns with steel rebar. In order to keep the light, spacious feel to the building, an attempt to keep the columns as small as possible was be made.

In order to complete the column design a RAM model was created. The dead load of each floor was found to be 80 psf. After carefully placing the live loads, the RAM model analyzed the building and designed the columns. Figure 21 shows the isometric view of the RAM model. Figure 22 shows the front view.

FIGURE 21 - RAM MODEL


FIGURE 22 - FRONT VIEW


A number of different bar configurations were used in order to find the one that produces the least steel area. The columns holding the largest tributary area were columns D5, D7, I5, and I7 which all held an estimated tributary area of $847 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. These columns were the largest in all but the penthouse floor. Lowering the area of steel is important as, the lower the steel area, the lower the cost. The number of bars per column ranges from 8 to 16 .

Columns ranged from 10 " $\times 10$ " in the penthouse to 24 " $\times 24$ " at the bottom level. Though some columns are larger than anticipated, the larger columns are located in more open, spacious areas that will ultimately make them look smaller than if they were placed in a tighter area.

Full column design information can be found in the Appendices.

## 4. Shear Wall

The current building uses a steel K-braced frame along existing column lines 3 and 9. Due to the use of steel beams and columns, the frame is not compatible with the redesigned slab and column system. The system also is integrated into the existing column layout and is not compatible with the column layout redesign.

A new system of shear walls was designed in order to resist lateral loads. The shear walls are reinforced concrete. As with the other elements of the redesign, the architectural integrity of the structure was considered. Concrete tends to make the building look heavy which contrasts the lightness of the existing building. 10" walls with heavier reinforcement were used to avoid thick walls that would take up more space. Existing concrete walls in the stairwell, elevator shaft, and mechanical area were used so more concrete walls did not need to be added. Figure 23 shows the layout of the shear walls. The dark blue walls indicate the stairwells. The red walls indicate the elevator and mechanical areas.

FIGURE 23 - SHEAR WALL LAYOUT


New wind calculations had to be made due to the height differential between the existing building and redesigned building. Table 7 shows the newly calculated wind loads. Table 8 shows the wind forces acting on the redesigned building. Figure 24 shows the new wind diagram.
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TABLE 7: NEW WIND LOADS

|  | Height | Kz | qz | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft} \wedge 2)$ | Height <br> Difference | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{k})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First | 9 | 0.943 | 16.62 | 15.83 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Second | 25.83 | 1.132 | 19.96 | 18.17 | 16.83 | 295 | 76.6 |
| Third | 40.5 | 1.225 | 21.58 | 19.31 | 14.67 | 279 | 72.5 |
| Fourth | 54.16 | 1.288 | 22.70 | 20.09 | 13.66 | 279 | 72.5 |
| Fifth | 67.83 | 1.339 | 23.61 | 20.72 | 13.67 | 287 | 74.6 |
| Sixth | 81.5 | 1.383 | 24.37 | 21.26 | 13.67 | 294 | 76.4 |
| Seventh | 95.16 | 1.421 | 25.04 | 21.72 | 13.66 | 290 | 75.5 |
| Penthouse | 108 | 1.452 | 25.60 | 22.11 | 12.84 | 397 | 103.1 |
| Roof | 130.42 | 1.501 | 26.45 | 22.71 | 22.42 | 255 | 66.2 |

TABLE 8: NEW WIND FORCES

|  | Force (k) | Shear (k) | Moment (ft-k) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First | 0 | 149.1 | 0 |
| Second | 76.6 | 145.0 | 1289 |
| Third | 72.5 | 147.1 | 2284 |
| Fourth | 72.5 | 151.0 | 3274 |
| Fifth | 74.6 | 151.8 | 4389 |
| Sixth | 76.4 | 178.6 | 5536 |
| Seventh | 75.5 | 169.3 | 6504 |
| Penthouse | 103.1 | 66.2 | 10207 |
| Roof | 66.2 | 0.0 | 8036 |

FIGURE 24: NEW WING DIAGRAM


At first, the building was analyzed using only the elevator and mechanical space walls as shear walls and ignoring the stairwells. This was done because the stairwells are not perpendicular to the wind load and would provide complications when calculated and designed. Unfortunately the shear walls were found to be too large and would have taken away from the architectural integrity of the inner spaces of the building, making them more crowded and heavy aesthetically.

The stair walls were then used in the design process and broken down into x and y components to incorporate the magnitude at which they effect the perpendicular wind force. Table 9 breaks down the lengths and distance from the origin of the walls in the x direction. Table 10 does the same for the $y$ direction walls.

TABLE 9: LENGTHS \& DISTANCES TABLE 10: LENGTHS \& DISTANCES OF X DIRECTION WALLS

| Wall |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| W2 | L | 25.42 | 317.75 |
|  | X | 12.5 |  |
| W4 | L | 16.83 | 1969.11 |
|  | X | 117 |  |
| W6 | L | 7.67 | 97.7925 |
|  | X | 12.75 |  |
| W7 | L | 13.59 | 511.854 |
|  | X | 37.67 |  |
| W8 | L | 4.28 | 197.0656 |
|  | X | 46.08 |  |
| W9 | L | 13.59 | 626.1278 |
|  | X | 46.08 |  |
| W10 | L | 4.28 | 162.5107 |
|  | X | 38 |  |
| W11 | L | 13.59 | 2906.711 |
|  | X | 213.92 |  |
| W12 | L | 4.28 | 104.0496 |
|  | X | 24.33 |  |
| W13 | L | 13.59 | 3016.501 |
|  | X | 222 |  |
| W14 | L | 4.28 | 949.4048 |
|  | X | 222 |  |


| Y-Direction |  |  | YL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| W1 | L | 12.75 | 470.73 |
|  | Y | 36.92 |  |
| W3 | L | 8.5 | 544 |
|  | Y | 64 |  |
| W5 | L | 3.33 | 154.01 |
|  | Y | 46.25 |  |
| W7 | L | 6.92 | 234.84 |
|  | Y | 33.92 |  |
| W8 | L | 8.39 | 330.10 |
|  | Y | 39.33 |  |
| W9 | L | 6.92 | 205.42 |
|  | Y | 29.67 |  |
| W10 | L | 8.39 | 203.54 |
|  | Y | 24.25 |  |
| W11 | L | 6.92 | 205.42 |
|  | Y | 29.67 |  |
| W12 | L | 8.39 | 330.11 |
|  | Y | 39.33 |  |
| W13 | L | 6.92 | 234.84 |
|  | Y | 33.92 |  |
| W14 | L | 8.39 | 203.54 |
|  | Y | 24.25 |  |

$\Sigma \mathrm{L}=121.38$
$\Sigma \mathrm{XL}=10858.88$
$\Sigma \mathrm{XL} / \Sigma \mathrm{L}=89.5$
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{x}}=40.54$
$\Sigma \mathrm{L}=85.85$
$\Sigma \mathrm{YL}=3116.55$
$\Sigma \mathrm{YL} / \Sigma \mathrm{L}=36.3$
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{y}}=14.2$

TABLE 11: J-VALUES

| Floor | $\Sigma K X^{2}$ | $\Sigma K Y^{2}$ | J |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | 336,396 | 110,153 | 446,549 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 486,015 | 160,457 | 646,472 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}-$ Penthouse | 585,073 | 197,580 | 782,653 |

The breakdown of stiffness calculations as well as the design calculations for shear walls can be found in the Appendices.

TABLE 12: SHEAR WALL DESIGN

| Wall | Reinforcement (bars) | Spacing <br> (inches) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\# 7$ | 16 |
| 4 | $\# 6$ | 18 |
| 6 | $\# 2$ | 18 |
| 7 | $\# 5$ | 16 |
| 8 | $\# 3$ | 18 |
| 9 | $\# 5$ | 16 |
| 10 | $\# 3$ | 18 |
| 11 | $\# 5$ | 16 |
| 12 | $\# 3$ | 18 |
| 13 | $\# 5$ | 16 |
| 14 | $\# 3$ | 18 |

## Construction Management Breadth: Cost Analysis

The concrete redesign was chosen due to the possibilities of creating a cheaper solution while keeping the architectural integrity of the building. The lower floor thickness due to the two-way flat slab redesign allowed for a reduction in building height. The use of shear walls would incorporate existing concrete walls into the lateral resisting structural system instead of building an entire new system like the k-braced frame used in the existing building. The existing column layout led to many irregular bays. Beams had to span large distances and were laid out in odd arrangements. The new column layout was created to keep the bays in a rectangular fashion. The bays would cut down on large spans in bays which would cut down on the depth of the slab and amount of reinforcement needed which would ultimately cut down on the cost.

In order to test this theory, an RS Means cost estimate was done for the existing steel structure and the concrete redesign. Only the components that were redesigned were taken into account when doing the RS Means estimate.

The concrete redesign was found to cost less than the original steel design. The original design was estimated to cost $\$ 1,411,217$. The redesign was estimated to cost $\$ 1,285,191$. The original design was found to take 171 days to construct. The concrete redesign was estimated to take 143 days.

The redesign would have theoretically saved $\$ 126,026$ and 28 days. These estimates are so close in value that it is easy to see why the steel design was used. The concrete redesign looked to achieve enough of an advantage in cost that the architectural aspects sacrificed using the design could be overlooked. Instead, when trying to achieve a specific look and style, it is easy to choose the option that is slightly more expensive in order to achieve that look and style as closely as possible. In the end, the $\$ 100,000$ and one month saved from using a concrete design is not enough to overlook the architectural impact of the design.

The concrete slab was the most expensive aspect of the redesign, costing an estimated $\$ 555,297.96$ (43\%). Including the reinforcing steel, the slab cost $\$ 730,960(57 \%)$. A more detailed breakdown on the cost and schedule analysis can be found in the Appendices.

## Flood Analysis

One of the most important aspects of design for the VASCIC is the consideration of flooding of the James River. The building is currently elevated in order to account for the flooding of the river. Because of this aspect, a further analysis of flood-resisting structures was done. A levee design was considered the most effective and aesthetically pleasing as it makes use of existing soil and backfill to make a more natural looking flood-resisting structure.

The highest point above sea level the James River has ever reached is 22 ft . The Virginia Advanced Shipbuilding \& Carrier Integration Center is 9 ft . above sea level. This means the levee will have to be 13 ft . tall. The force acting on the levee due to the water is $811 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sf}$. It was assumed that the soil used for the levee would be sand, dense and well graded. This soil is aesthetically pleasing, can resist the force of the water easily, and will also resist seepage well.

Due to the location of the building in respect to the river, seepage is an important factor. In order to resist seepage completely in a flood situation, a slurry wall using soil-cement bentonite will be used in the levee. The slurry wall will be over 13 ft . deep in order to resist seepage from the flooding.

The advantages of soil-cement bentonite slurry walls are as follows:

## Low Cost

High productivity
Verifiable Continuity and Depth
Excellent resistance to contaminated groundwater
Ability to easily flex with ground movements
Cementuous materials added to the backfill increase the strength of the backfill
Greater trench stability is possible because the backfill creates a shorter backfill slope
Often preferred in levees for its resistant to erosion and burrowing animals

## FIGURE 25 - LEVEE DESIGN



## APPENDIX:

## APPENDIX A: EXISTING BUILDING WIND CALCS

Gust Factor Calculation (ACI 6.5.8)
ACE 6.5.8.1
$\mathrm{z}=.6(135.2)=81.2$
$c=.15 \quad$ (Table 6-2)
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}}=.15\left(\frac{33}{81.12}\right)^{1 / 6}=.129$
$\mathrm{Lz}=650\left(\frac{81.12}{33}\right)^{1 / 8}=727.3$
$\mathrm{H}=129.5 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{B}=260 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{Q}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{260+129.5}{727.3}\right)^{.63}}}=.838$
ACI 6.5.8.2
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{Q}}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{r}}=3.4$
$\mathrm{n}_{1}=\frac{100}{135.2}=.74$
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{R}}=\sqrt{2 \ln (3600(.74))}+\frac{.577}{\sqrt{2 \ln (3600(.74))}}=4.18$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}=.8\left(\frac{81.12}{33}\right)^{1 / 9} 90\left(\frac{88}{60}\right)=116.7$
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=\frac{.74(727.3)}{116.7}=4.61$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{7.47(4.61)}{(1+10.3(4.61))^{5 / 3}}=.053$
$4.6 \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~EB} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}=7.58$
$R B=\frac{1}{7.58}-\frac{1}{2\left(7.58^{2}\right)}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2(7.58)}\right)=.123$
$\frac{15.4 n 1 L}{V z}=25.39$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{1}{25.39}-\frac{1}{2\left(25.39^{2}\right)}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2(25.39)}\right)=.0386$
$\beta=.004$ for steel
$\mathrm{R}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{.004}(.053)(.230)(.123)(.53+.47(.0386))}=.453$
$\mathrm{G}=.925 \frac{1+1.7(.129) \sqrt{\left(3.4^{2}\right)\left(.838^{2}\right)+\left(4.18^{2}\right)\left(.453^{2}\right)}}{1+1.7(3.4) .129)}=1.003$
Velocity Pressure ACI 6.5.10
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}=.00256 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{zt}} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{V}^{2} \mathrm{I}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{z}}=2.01\left(\frac{99.161}{700}\right)^{2 / 11.5}=1.43 @ 6^{\text {th }}$ floor
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}=.00256(1.43)(1)(.85)\left(90^{2}\right)(1)=26.421$

## Pressure ACI 6.5.12

$\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{qG}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{GC}_{\mathrm{pi}}\right)$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}=.8$ winward .5 leeward

Winward: $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}(1.003)(.8)-26.421(-.18)=.0824 \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}+4.7558$
Leeward: $p=26.421(1.003)(-.5)-26.421(18)=-18.06$

## Force of Winward Pressure

@ $5^{\text {th }}$ floor
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{z}}=2.01\left(\frac{84.83}{700}\right)^{2 / 11.5}=1.39$
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}=.00256(1.39)(1)(.85)\left(90^{2}\right)(1)=24.50$
$\mathrm{P}_{5 \text { th floor }}=.8024(24.5)+4.7558=24.415$
$\mathrm{P}_{6 \text { th floor }}=.8024(25.204)+4.7558=24.979$
$\mathrm{F}=\frac{260}{1000}\left(24.415\left(\frac{14.33}{2}\right)+24.979\left(\frac{14.33}{2}\right)\right)=92 \mathrm{k}$

## APPENDIX B: EXISTING BUILDING SEISMIC CALCS

$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}=.123$
$\mathrm{S}_{1}=.049$

Site Class: D
Importance Factor: 1
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.6$
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}=2.4$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MS}}=1.6(.123)=.1968$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M} 1}=2.4(.049)=.1176$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}=\frac{2}{3}(.1968)=.1312$
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{D} 1}=\frac{2}{3}(.1176)=.0784$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}=.028$
$\mathrm{x}=.8$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=.028(135.21)^{.8}=1.419$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{.0784}{.1312}=.598$
$\mathrm{R}=8$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}=1.7$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{.1312}{8}=.0164$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{.0784}{1.419(8)}=.00691$
Use Min $=>\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=.0069$
$\mathrm{V}=.00691(13078.09)=90.37$
$K=$
$\mathrm{Fx}=\frac{W x H x^{1.46}}{\sum W \text { ihi } i^{1.46}}$

## APPENDIX C: SLAB THICKNESS

w/ drop panels
$\mathrm{T}_{\min }=\frac{L n}{36}=\frac{\left(33+\left(\frac{10}{12}\right)\right)(12)-24}{36}=10.61 "=>12 "($ Table $9.5(\mathrm{c}))$

Drop Panel


Avg span $=.2\left(29+\frac{11}{12}\right)=5.98^{\prime \prime}$
$a=5-\frac{5.98}{2}=2.01 "$
thickness $=\frac{12}{4}=3 "$
Penthouse:
$\mathrm{T}_{\min }=\frac{L n}{36}=\frac{\left(28+\left(\frac{8}{12}\right)\right)(12)-10}{36}=9.28 "=>10 "($ Table $9.5(\mathrm{c}))$


Avg span $=.2\left(24+\frac{11}{12}\right)=4.98^{\prime \prime}$
$a=5-\frac{4.98}{2}=2.5^{\prime \prime}=>$ use $3 "$
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## APPENDIX D: COLUMN STRIPS

Column Strip D:
Assume: \#5 bars $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=.31\right)$
DL $=180 \mathrm{psf}$
LL $=80$ (corridors)
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.2(180)+1.6(80)=344 \mathrm{psf}$
Moments
$\mathrm{M}=\frac{344\left(\frac{29^{\prime}-2^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right)\left(28+\frac{8}{12}-\frac{15}{12}\right)^{2}}{8}=471 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$

|  | M- (ft-k) | $\mathrm{M}+(\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Moment | $.26(471)=122$ | $.52(471)=245$ |
| Column Moment | 122 | $.6(245)=147$ |
| Middle Strip |  | $.4(245)=98$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{b}=\frac{29^{\prime}-2 "^{\prime \prime}}{2}(12)=175^{\prime \prime} \\
& \mathrm{d}=10-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{2}(.625)=8.9
\end{aligned}
$$

| M- | $\mathrm{M}+$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Mn}=\frac{122}{.9}=136$ | $\mathrm{Mn}=\frac{147}{.9}=163$ |
| $\mathrm{R}=\frac{136(12)(1000)}{175\left(8.9^{2}\right)}=118$ | $\mathrm{R}=\frac{163(12)(1000)}{175\left(8.9^{2}\right)}=141$ |
| $\rho=.002$ | $\rho=.0024$ |
| $\mathrm{As}_{\min }=.002(175)(8.9)=3.13$ | $\mathrm{As}_{\min }=.0024(175)(8.9)=3.75$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=\frac{3.13}{.31}=10.1=>$ use 12 | $\mathrm{~N}=\frac{3.75}{.31}=12.1=>$ use 14 |
| $12-\# 5$ Bars $(\mathrm{As}=3.72)$ | $14-\# 5$ Bars $(\mathrm{As}=4.34)$ |

$\rho$ interpolation

$$
\rho=.0033-\left(\frac{.0034-.0033}{197.9-192.2}\right)(192.2-117)=.00198
$$
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## APPENDIX E: COLUMN STRIP SCHEDULE

| $1^{\text {st }}$ Floor |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Column Line | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M-) | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M+) |
| D7-5 | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| E3-5 | 16 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| E7-5 | 36 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| E7-9 | 16 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| F7-5 | 24 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| G7-5 | 26 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| H3-5 | 18 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| H7-5 | 36 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| H7-9 | 18 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| I3-5 | 18 | 5 | 22 | 5 |
| I7-5 | 38 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| I7-9 | 18 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| J7-5 | 36 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| J7-9 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| K7-5 | 16 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| F5-1 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| G5-1 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 5 |


| 5D-E | 20 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5E-F | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 5F-G | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| 5G-H | 30 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 5H-I | 40 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 5I-J | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 5J-K | 14 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| 7D-E | 22 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| 7E-F | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 7F-G | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| 7G-H | 30 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 7H-I | 40 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7I-J | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 7J-K | 14 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
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$2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor

| Column Line | Column Line | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M-) | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M+) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B5-7 | J5-7 | 16 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| C4-5 | K4-5 | 22 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| C5-7 | K5-7 | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| C7-8 | K7-8 | 22 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| D3-5 | I3-5 | 20 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| D5-7 | I5-7 | 38 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| D7-9 | I7-9 | 20 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| E2-3 |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| E3-5 |  | 30 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| E5-7 |  | 36 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| E7-9 | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |  |
| E9-10 |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |
| F1-5 |  | 20 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| F5-7 |  | 24 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| F7-10 |  | 20 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| G1-5 |  | 20 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| G5-7 |  | 26 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| G7-10 |  | 20 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| H2-3 |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |
| H3-5 |  | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| H5-7 |  | 40 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| H7-9 |  | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| H9-10 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |


| 7B-C | 5B-C | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7C-D | 5C-D | 38 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7D-E | 5D-E | 42 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| 7E-F | 5E-F | 30 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 7F-G | 5F-G | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| 7G-H | 5G-H | 30 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 7H-I | 5H-I | 42 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| 7I-J | 5I-J | 38 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7J-K | 5J-K | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 9D-E | 3D-E | 8 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| 9E-H | 3E-H | 74 | 5 | 28 | 5 |
| 9H-I | 3H-I | 8 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| 10E-F | 1E-F | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 10F-G | 1F-G | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 10G-H | 1G-H | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
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| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Floor |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Column Line Column Line \# of Bars (M-) Bar Size (M-) \# of Bars (M-) Bar Size (M+) <br> B5-7 J5-7 16 5 20 5 <br> C4-5 K4-5 22 5 26 5 <br> C5-7 K5-7 32 5 14 5 <br> C7-8 K7-8 22 5 26 5 <br> D3-5  18 5 22 5 <br> D5-7  42 5 18 5 <br> D7-9  18 5 22 5 <br> E2-3  2 3 2 3 <br> E3-5  32 5 14 5 <br> E5-7  30 5 18 5 <br> E7-9  2 5 14 5 <br> E9-10  20 2 2 3 <br> F1-5  24 5 10 5 <br> F5-7  20 5 24 5 <br> F7-10  24 5 26 5 <br> G1-5  18 5 10 5 <br> G5-7  2 5 22 5 <br> G7-10  32 2 2 5 <br> H2-3  36 5 14 5 <br> H3-5  34 5 16 5 <br> H5-7  2 5 16 5 <br> H7-9  18 3 2 3 <br> H9-10  38 5 5 5 <br> I3-5  20 5 16 5 <br> I5-7  5 24 5  <br> I7-9     5 |  |  |  |  |  |


| 5B-C | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5C-D | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |  |
| 5D-E | 42 | 5 | 20 | 5 |  |
| 5E-F | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |  |
| 5F-G | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 |  |
| 5G-H | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |  |
| 5H-I | 40 | 5 | 18 | 5 |  |
| 5I-J |  | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| 5J-K | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |  |
| 7B-C | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |  |
| 7C-D | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |  |
| 7D-E |  | 42 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| 7E-F |  | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 7F-G |  | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| 7G-H |  | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
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| 7H-I |  | 42 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7I-J |  | 38 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7J-K |  | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 9D-E | 3D-E | 8 | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| 9E-H | 3E-H | 66 | 5 | 28 | 5 |
| 9H-I | 3H-I | 8 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| 10E-F | 1E-F | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| 10F-G | 1F-G | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 10G-H | 1G-H | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |

$4^{\text {th }}$ Floor

| Column Line | Column Line | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M-) | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M+) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B5-7 | J5-7 | 16 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| C4-5 | K4-5 | 22 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| C5-7 | K5-7 | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| C7-8 | K7-5 | 22 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| D3-5 |  | 18 | 5 | 22 | 5 |
| D5-7 |  | 42 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| D7-9 |  | 18 | 5 | 22 | 5 |
| E2-3 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| E3-5 |  | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| E5-7 |  | 30 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| E7-9 |  | 2 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| E9-10 |  | 20 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| F1-5 |  | 24 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| F5-7 |  | 20 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| F7-10 |  | 24 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| G1-5 |  | 18 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| G5-7 |  | 2 | 2 | 22 | 5 |
| G7-10 |  | 32 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| H2-3 |  | 36 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| H3-5 |  | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| H5-7 |  | 2 | 16 | 5 |  |
| H7-9 |  | 18 | 2 | 3 |  |
| H9-10 |  | 38 | 5 | 22 | 5 |
| I3-5 |  | 20 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| I5-7 |  | 5 | 24 | 5 |  |
| I7-9 |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 5B-C |  | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5C-D |  | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| 5D-E |  | 42 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| 5E-F |  | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 5F-G |  | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| 5G-H |  | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 5H-I |  | 40 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 5I-J |  | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| 5J-K |  | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 7B-C |  | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 7C-D |  | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| 7D-E |  | 42 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| 7E-F |  | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 7F-G |  | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| 7G-H |  | 42 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 7H-I |  | 38 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| 7I-J |  | 12 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7J-K |  | 8 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 9D-E | 3D-E | 66 | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| 9E-H | 3E-H | 8 | 28 | 5 |  |
| 9H-I | 3H-I | 4 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| 10E-F | 1E-F | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 10F-G | 1F-G | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 10G-H | 1G-H | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |

$5^{\text {th }}$ Floor

| Column Line | Column Line | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M-) | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M+) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B5-7 | J5-7 | 16 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| C4-5 | K4-5 | 20 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| C5-7 | K5-7 | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| C7-8 | K7-8 | 22 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| D3-5 | I3-5 | 18 | 5 | 22 | 5 |
| D5-7 | I5-7 | 38 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| D7-9 | I7-9 | 20 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| E2-3 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| E3-5 |  | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| E5-7 |  | 36 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| E7-9 |  | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| E9-10 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| F7-10 |  | 18 | 5 | 22 | 5 |
| F5-7 |  | 24 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| F1-5 |  | 20 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| G1-5 |  | 20 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
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| G5-7 | 24 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G7-10 | 18 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| H2-3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| H3-5 | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| H5-7 | 40 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| H7-9 | 34 | 5 | 32 | 5 |
| H9-10 | 32 | 5 | 32 | 5 |


| 1E-F |  | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1F-G |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 1G-H |  | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| 3D-E |  | 8 | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| 3E-H |  | 66 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| 3H-I |  | 8 | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| 7B-C | 5B-C | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 7C-D | 5C-D | 38 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7D-E | 5D-E | 40 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7E-F | 5E-F | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 7F-G | 5F-G | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| 7G-H | 5G-H | 32 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| 7H-I | 5H-I | 40 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7I-J | 5I-J | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| 7J-K | 5J-K | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 9D-E |  | 8 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| 9E-H |  | 74 | 5 | 28 | 5 |
| 9H-I |  | 8 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| 10E-F |  | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| 10F-G |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 10G-H |  | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
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$6^{\text {th }}$ Floor

| Column Line | Column Line | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M-) | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M+) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B-5-7 | J5-7 | 16 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| C4-5 | K4-5 | 22 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| C5-7 | K5-7 | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| C7-8 | K7-8 | 22 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| D3-5 | I3-5 | 20 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| D5-7 | I5-7 | 38 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| D7-9 | I7-9 | 20 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| E2-3 |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| E3-5 |  | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| E5-7 |  | 36 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| E7-9 |  | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| E9-10 |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |
| F1-5 |  | 20 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| F5-7 |  | 24 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| F7-10 |  | 18 | 5 | 22 | 5 |
| G1-5 |  | 20 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| G5-7 |  | 24 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| G7-10 |  | 18 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| H2-3 |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |
| H3-5 |  | 34 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| H5-7 |  | 40 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| H7-9 |  | 34 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| H9-10 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |


| 7B-C | 5B-C | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7C-D | 5C-D | 38 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7D-E | 5D-E | 40 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7E-F | 5E-F | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 7F-G | 5F-G | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| 7G-H | 5G-H | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 7H-I | 5H-I | 40 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7I-J | 5I-J | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| 7J-K | 5J-K | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 9D-E | 3D-E | 8 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| 9E-H | 3E-H | 74 | 5 | 28 | 5 |
| 9H-I | 3H-I | 8 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| 10E-F | 1E-F | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| 10F-G | 1F-G | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 10G-H | 1G-H | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
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## $7^{\text {Th }}$ Floor

| Column Line | Column Line | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M-) | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M+) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B-5-7 | J5-7 | 16 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| C4-5 | K4-5 | 22 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| C5-7 | K5-7 | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| C7-8 | K7-8 | 22 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| D3-5 | I3-5 | 20 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| D5-7 | I5-7 | 38 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| D7-9 | I7-9 | 20 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| E2-3 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| E3-5 |  | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| E5-7 |  | 36 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| E7-9 |  | 32 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| E9-10 |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |
| F1-5 |  | 20 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| F5-7 |  | 24 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| F7-10 |  | 18 | 5 | 22 | 5 |
| G1-5 |  | 20 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| G5-7 |  | 24 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| G7-10 |  | 18 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| H2-3 |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |
| H3-5 |  | 34 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| H5-7 |  | 40 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| H7-9 |  | 34 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| H9-10 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |


| 7B-C | 5B-C | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7C-D | 5C-D | 38 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7D-E | 5D-E | 40 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7E-F | 5E-F | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 7F-G | 5F-G | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| 7G-H | 5G-H | 28 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 7H-I | 5H-I | 40 | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| 7I-J | 5I-J | 34 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| 7J-K | 5J-K | 12 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 9D-E | 3D-E | 8 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| 9E-H | 3E-H | 74 | 5 | 28 | 5 |
| 9H-I | 3H-I | 8 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| 10E-F | 1E-F | 4 | 5 | 5 |  |
| 10F-G | 1F-G | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 10G-H | 1G-H | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |

Penthouse

| Column Line | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M-) | \# of Bars (M-) | Bar Size (M+) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D7-5 | 14 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| E7-5 | 44 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| E7-9 | 20 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| F7-5 | 30 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| G7-5 | 30 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| H7-5 | 44 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| H7-9 | 20 | 5 | 26 | 5 |
| I7-5 | 38 | 5 | 20 | 5 |

## APPENDIX F: COLUMN CHECK

Column D-7, Level 3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{At}=847 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{DL}=180 \mathrm{psf} \\
& \mathrm{LL}=80 \mathrm{psf} \\
& \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.2(180)+1.6(80)=344 \mathrm{psf} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u} \text { 3rd floor }}=\frac{344(8437)}{1000}=291.37 \mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u} \text { above floors }}=1268.25 \mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=291.37+1268.25=1559.2 \mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{uT}}=36.12 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{uB}}=-22.08 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{H}=13.33 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}}=60 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}=4 \mathrm{ksi} \\
& 14 \neq 10 \text { bars } \\
& \Phi P_{\mathrm{n}}=.8 \Phi\left[.85 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{g}}-\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{st}}\right)+\mathrm{fy}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{st}}\right)\right] \\
& \Phi(1559.2)=.8 \Phi[.85(4)(20(20)-14(1.27))+60(14)(14)(1.27)]
\end{aligned}
$$

1559.2 < 1893.1 => ok
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## APPENDIX G: COLUMN REINFORCEMENT SCHEDULE

| $1^{\text {st }}$ Floor |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Column Line | Column Line | size | \# of bars | bar size |
| A | 6 | 14x14 | 8 | 5 |
| B | 5 | 14x14 | 8 | 5 |
| B | 7 | 14x14 | 8 | 5 |
| C | 4 | 14x14 | 8 | 5 |
| C | 5 | 20x20 | 12 | 8 |
| C | 7 | 20x20 | 12 | 8 |
| C | 8 | 14x14 | 8 | 5 |
| D | 3 | 14x14 | 8 | 6 |
| D | 5 | $24 \times 24$ | 14 | 10 |
| D | 7 | 24x24 | 14 | 10 |
| D | 9 | 14x14 | 14 | 5 |
| E | 2 | 14x14 | 8 | 5 |
| E | 3 | 18x18 | 14 | 10 |
| E | 5 | 24x24 | 16 | 6 |
| E | 7 | $24 \times 24$ | 16 | 7 |
| E | 9 | 18x18 | 14 | 9 |
| E | 10 | 14x14 | 8 | 5 |
| F | 1 | 14x14 | 8 | 5 |
| F | 5 | 20x20 | 12 | 6 |
| F | 7 | 20x20 | 12 | 6 |
| F | 10 | $14 \times 14$ | 12 | 4 |
| G | 1 | 14x14 | 8 | 5 |
| G | 5 | 20x20 | 12 | 9 |
| G | 7 | 20x20 | 12 | 8 |
| G | 10 | 14x14 | 8 | 5 |
| H | 2 | $14 \times 14$ | 8 | 5 |
| H | 3 | 18x18 | 14 | 10 |
| H | 5 | 24x24 | 16 | 10 |
| H | 7 | $24 \times 24$ | 16 | 10 |
| H | 9 | 18x18 | 14 | 10 |
| H | 10 | 14x14 | 8 | 5 |
| I | 3 | $14 \times 14$ | 8 | 7 |
| I | 5 | 24x24 | 16 | 10 |
| I | 7 | 24x24 | 16 | 10 |
| I | 9 | $14 \times 14$ | 8 | 6 |
| J | 4 | $14 \times 14$ | 8 | 5 |
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| J | 5 | $20 \times 20$ | 14 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| J | 7 | $20 \times 20$ | 14 | 8 |
| J | 8 | $14 \times 14$ | 8 | 5 |
| K | 5 | $14 \times 14$ | 8 | 5 |
| K | 7 | $14 \times 14$ | 8 | 5 |
| L | 6 | $14 \times 14$ | 8 | 5 |

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor

| Column <br> Line | Column <br> Line | size | \# of bars | bar size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 6 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| B | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 8 |
| B | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 8 |
| C | 4 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| C | 5 | $18 \times 18$ | 12 | 10 |
| C | 7 | $18 \times 18$ | 12 | 10 |
| C | 8 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| D | 3 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 8 |
| D | 5 | $22 \times 22$ | 14 | 10 |
| D | 7 | $22 \times 22$ | 14 | 10 |
| D | 9 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 8 |
| E | 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| E | 3 | $18 \times 18$ | 14 | 9 |
| E | 5 | $22 \times 22$ | 16 | 5 |
| E | 7 | $22 \times 22$ | 16 | 7 |
| E | 9 | $18 \times 18$ | 14 | 8 |
| E | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| F | 1 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 6 |
| F | 5 | $18 \times 18$ | 12 | 5 |
| F | 7 | $18 \times 8$ | 12 | 5 |
| F | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 12 | 5 |
| G | 1 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| G | 5 | $18 \times 18$ | 12 | 10 |
| G | 7 | $18 \times 18$ | 12 | 9 |
| G | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| H | 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| H | 3 | $18 \times 18$ | 14 | 9 |
| H | 5 | $22 \times 22$ | 16 | 10 |
| H | 7 | $22 \times 22$ | 16 | 10 |
| H | 9 | $18 \times 18$ | 14 | 9 |
| H | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
|  | 7 | 8 |  |  |
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| I | 3 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | 5 | $22 \times 22$ | 16 | 10 |
| I | 7 | $22 \times 22$ | 16 | 10 |
| I | 9 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 8 |
| J | 4 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| J | 5 | $18 \times 18$ | 14 | 9 |
| J | 7 | $18 \times 18$ | 14 | 9 |
| J | 8 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| K | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 8 |
| K | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| L | 6 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 8 |


| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Floor |
| :--- |
| Column <br> Line Column <br> Line size \# of bars bar size <br> A 6 $12 \times 12$ 8 4 <br> B 5 $12 \times 13$ 8 7 <br> B 7 $12 \times 14$ 8 7 <br> C 4 $12 \times 12$ 8 4 <br> C 5 $16 \times 16$ 12 9 <br> C 7 $16 \times 16$ 12 9 <br> C 8 $12 \times 12$ 8 4 <br> D 3 $12 \times 12$ 8 7 <br> D 5 $20 \times 20$ 14 10 <br> D 7 $20 \times 21$ 14 10 <br> D 9 $16 \times 16$ 14 4 <br> E 2 $12 \times 12$ 8 4 <br> E 3 $16 \times 16$ 14 9 <br> E 5 $20 \times 20$ 16 5 <br> E 7 $20 \times 20$ 16 7 <br> E 9 $16 \times 16$ 14 8 <br> E 10 $12 \times 12$ 8 4 <br> F 1 $12 \times 12$ 8 5 <br> F 5 $16 \times 16$ 12 5 <br> F 7 $16 \times 17$ 12 5 <br> F 10 $16 \times 18$ 12 4 <br> G 1 $12 \times 12$ 8 6 <br> G 5 $16 \times 16$ 12 10 <br> G 7 $16 \times 16$ 12 9 <br> G 10 $12 \times 12$ 8 6 <br> H 2 $12 \times 12$ 8 5 <br>      |
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| H | 3 | $16 \times 16$ | 14 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 5 | $20 \times 20$ | 16 | 9 |
| H | 7 | $20 \times 20$ | 16 | 9 |
| H | 9 | $20 \times 20$ | 14 | 8 |
| H | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| I | 3 | $16 \times 16$ | 8 | 6 |
| I | 5 | $20 \times 20$ | 16 | 9 |
| I | 7 | $20 \times 20$ | 16 | 9 |
| I | 9 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| J | 4 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| J | 5 | $16 \times 16$ | 14 | 9 |
| J | 7 | $16 \times 17$ | 14 | 9 |
| J | 8 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| K | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| K | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| L | 6 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |

$4^{\text {th }}$ Floor

| Column <br> Line | Column <br> Line | size | \# of bars | bar size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 6 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| B | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| B | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| C | 4 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| C | 5 | $16 \times 16$ | 12 | 8 |
| C | 7 | $16 \times 16$ | 12 | 8 |
| C | 8 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| D | 3 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| D | 5 | $16 \times 16$ | 14 | 10 |
| D | 7 | $16 \times 16$ | 14 | 10 |
| D | 9 | $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 5 |
| E | 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| E | 3 | $16 \times 16$ | 14 | 8 |
| E | 5 | $16 \times 16$ | 16 | 8 |
| E | 7 | $16 \times 16$ | 16 | 9 |
| E | 9 | $16 \times 16$ | 14 | 7 |
| E | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| F | 1 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 6 |
| F | 5 | $16 \times 16$ | 12 | 5 |
| F | 7 | $16 \times 17$ | 12 | 5 |
| F | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 12 | 4 |
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| G | 1 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G | 5 | $16 \times 16$ | 12 | 9 |
| G | 7 | $16 \times 16$ | 12 | 8 |
| G | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| $H$ | 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| H | 3 | $16 \times 16$ | 14 | 8 |
| $H$ | 5 | $24 \times 24$ | 16 | 6 |
| H | 7 | $24 \times 24$ | 16 | 6 |
| H | 9 | $16 \times 16$ | 14 | 7 |
| H | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| I | 3 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 6 |
| I | 5 | $24 \times 24$ | 16 | 6 |
| I | 7 | $24 \times 24$ | 16 | 6 |
| I | 9 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 6 |
| J | 4 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| J | 5 | $18 \times 18$ | 14 | 5 |
| J | 7 | $18 \times 18$ | 14 | 5 |
| J | 8 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| K | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| K | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| L | 6 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |

$5^{\text {th }}$ Floor

| Column <br> Line | Column <br> Line | size | \# of bars | bar size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 6 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| B | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| B | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| C | 4 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| C | 5 | $14 \times 14$ | 12 | 8 |
| C | 7 | $14 \times 14$ | 12 | 8 |
| C | 8 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| D | 3 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| D | 5 | $16 \times 16$ | 14 | 10 |
| D | 7 | $16 \times 16$ | 14 | 10 |
| D | 9 | $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 5 |
| E | 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 4 | 8 |
| E | 3 | $14 \times 14$ | 14 | 8 |
| E | 5 | $16 \times 16$ | 16 | 8 |
| E | 7 | $16 \times 16$ | 16 | 8 |
| E | 9 | $14 \times 14$ | 14 | 7 |
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| E | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F | 1 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 6 |
| F | 5 | $16 \times 16$ | 12 | 5 |
| F | 7 | $16 \times 16$ | 12 | 5 |
| F | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 12 | 4 |
| G | 1 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| G | 5 | $16 \times 16$ | 12 | 8 |
| G | 7 | $16 \times 16$ | 12 | 7 |
| G | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| H | 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| H | 3 | $14 \times 14$ | 14 | 8 |
| H | 5 | $16 \times 16$ | 16 | 10 |
| H | 7 | $16 \times 16$ | 16 | 10 |
| H | 9 | $14 \times 14$ | 14 | 7 |
| H | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| I | 3 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 6 |
| I | 5 | $16 \times 16$ | 16 | 10 |
| I | 7 | $16 \times 16$ | 16 | 10 |
| I | 9 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| J | 4 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| J | 5 | $14 \times 14$ | 14 | 8 |
| J | 7 | $14 \times 14$ | 14 | 8 |
| J | 8 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| K | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| K | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| L | 6 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$6^{\text {th }}$ Floor

| Column <br> Line | Column <br> Line | size | \# of bars | bar size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 6 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| B | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| B | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| C | 4 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| C | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 12 | 7 |
| C | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 12 | 7 |
| C | 8 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| D | 3 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| D | 5 | $14 \times 14$ | 14 | 8 |
| D | 7 | $14 \times 14$ | 14 | 8 |
| D | 9 | $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 4 |
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| E | 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E | 3 | $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 6 |
| E | 5 | $14 \times 14$ | 16 | 5 |
| E | 7 | $14 \times 14$ | 16 | 6 |
| E | 9 | $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 6 |
| E | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| F | 1 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 6 |
| F | 5 | $14 \times 14$ | 12 | 6 |
| F | 7 | $14 \times 14$ | 12 | 6 |
| F | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| G | 1 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 6 |
| G | 5 | $14 \times 14$ | 12 | 6 |
| G | 7 | $14 \times 14$ | 12 | 6 |
| G | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| H | 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| H | 3 | $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 6 |
| H | 5 | $14 \times 14$ | 16 | 7 |
| H | 7 | $14 \times 14$ | 16 | 7 |
| H | 9 | $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 6 |
| H | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| I | 3 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| I | 5 | $14 \times 14$ | 16 | 7 |
| I | 7 | $14 \times 14$ | 16 | 7 |
| I | 9 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| J | 4 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| J | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 6 |
| J | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 6 |
| J | 8 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| K | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| K | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 5 |
| L | 6 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| 7 7t Floor |
| :--- |
| Column <br> Line Column <br> Line size \# of bars bar size <br> A 6 $12 \times 12$ 8 4 <br> B 5 $12 \times 12$ 8 7 <br> B 7 $12 \times 12$ 8 7 <br> C 4 $12 \times 12$ 8 4 <br> C 5 $12 \times 12$ 12 4 <br> C 7 $12 \times 12$ 12 4 |
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| C | 8 | 12x12 | 8 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | 3 | 12x12 | 8 | 5 |
| D | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 6 |
| D | 7 | 12x12 | 14 | 6 |
| D | 9 | 12x12 | 14 | 4 |
| E | 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| E | 3 | $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 3 |
| E | 5 | 12x12 | 16 | 4 |
| E | 7 | 12x12 | 16 | 4 |
| E | 9 | $12 \times 12$ | 14 | 3 |
| E | 10 | 12x12 | 8 | 4 |
| F | 1 | 12x12 | 8 | 6 |
| F | 5 | 12x12 | 12 | 4 |
| F | 7 | 12x12 | 12 | 4 |
| F | 10 | 12x12 | 12 | 4 |
| G | 1 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 7 |
| G | 5 | $12 \times 12$ | 12 | 4 |
| G | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 12 | 4 |
| G | 10 | 12x12 | 8 | 6 |
| H | 2 | 12x12 | 8 | 4 |
| H | 3 | 12x12 | 14 | 3 |
| H | 5 | 12x12 | 16 | 6 |
| H | 7 | $12 \times 12$ | 16 | 6 |
| H | 9 | 12x12 | 14 | 3 |
| H | 10 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| I | 3 | 12x12 | 8 | 4 |
| I | 5 | 12x12 | 16 | 5 |
| I | 7 | 12x12 | 16 | 5 |
| I | 9 | 12x12 | 8 | 4 |
| J | 4 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| J | 5 | 12x12 | 14 | 3 |
| J | 7 | 12x12 | 14 | 3 |
| J | 8 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |
| K | 5 | 12x12 | 8 | 6 |
| K | 7 | 12x12 | 8 | 6 |
| L | 6 | $12 \times 12$ | 8 | 4 |

Penthouse

| Column <br> Line | Column <br> Line | size | \# of bars | bar size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D | 5 | $10 \times 10$ | 14 | 4 |
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| D | 7 | $10 \times 10$ | 14 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E | 5 | $10 \times 10$ | 16 | 3 |
| E | 7 | $10 \times 10$ | 16 | 3 |
| F | 5 | $10 \times 10$ | 12 | 3 |
| F | 7 | $10 \times 10$ | 12 | 3 |
| G | 5 | $10 \times 10$ | 12 | 3 |
| G | 7 | $10 \times 10$ | 12 | 3 |
| H | 5 | $10 \times 10$ | 16 | 3 |
| H | 7 | $10 \times 10$ | 16 | 3 |
| I | 5 | $10 \times 10$ | 16 | 4 |
| I | 7 | $10 \times 10$ | 16 | 4 |

## APPENDIX H: NEW WIND CALCS

Exposure: D
Occupancy: II

Basic Wind Speed: $V=90 \mathrm{mph}$
$\mathrm{I}=1.0$

## $\underline{\text { Gust Factor Calculation }}$

$c=.15$
$Z_{\text {min }}=.6(126.06)=75.64$
$I_{z}=.15\left(\frac{33}{75.64}\right)^{1 / 6}=.131$
$L_{\mathrm{z}}=650\left(\frac{75.64}{33}\right)^{1 / 8}=721$
$\mathrm{h}=116.3 \mathrm{ft}$
$B=260 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{Q}=\frac{1}{1+.63\left(\frac{260+116.3}{721}\right)^{.63}}=.705$
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{gr}=3.4$
$\mathrm{n}_{1}=\frac{100}{126.06}=.79$
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{r}}=\sqrt{2 \ln (3600(.79))}+\frac{.577}{\sqrt{2 \ln (3600(.79))}}=4.13$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}=.8\left(\frac{75.64}{33}\right)^{1 / 9}(90)\left(\frac{88}{60}\right)=115.8$
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=\frac{.79(721)}{115.8}=4.92$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{7.47(4.92)}{(1+10.3(4.92))^{\frac{5}{3}}}=.051$
$\frac{4.61(.79)(1)(260)}{115.8}=8.18$
$R_{b}=\frac{1}{8.18}-\frac{1}{2\left(8.18^{2}\right)}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2(8.18)}\right)=.115$
$\frac{15.4(.79)(260)}{115.8}=27.32$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{1}{27.32}-\frac{1}{2\left(27.32^{2}\right)}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2(27.32)}\right)=.0359$
$\beta=.013$ for reinforced concrete
$\frac{4.6(.79)(116.3)}{115.8}=3.65$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}=\frac{1}{3.65}-\frac{1}{2\left(3.65^{2}\right)}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2(3.65)}\right)=.236$
$\mathrm{R}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{.013}(.051)(.236)(.115)(.53+.47(.0359))}=.058$
$\mathrm{G}=.925\left(\frac{1+.17(.131) \sqrt{3.4^{2}\left(.705^{2}\right)+4.13^{2}\left(.058^{2}\right)}}{1+.17(3.4)(.131)}\right)=.874$
Velocity Pressure @ $4^{\text {th }}$ Floor
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}=.00256 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ZT}} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{V}^{2} \mathrm{I}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Z}}=2.01\left(\frac{66.49}{700}\right)^{2 / 11.5}=1.33$
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}=.00256(1.33)(1)(.85)\left(90^{2}\right)(1)=23.44$

## Pressure

$\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{qG} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P}}-\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{GC}_{\mathrm{pi}}\right)$
$\mathrm{Cp}=.85$ winward
.5 leeward

Winward: $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}(.874)(.8)-23.44(-.18)=.699 \mathrm{q}+4.22$
Leeward: $\mathrm{p}=23.44(.874)(-.5)-23.44(.18)=-14.46$
Force of Winward Pressure @ $3^{\text {rd }}$ floor
$\mathrm{Kz}=2.01\left(\frac{53.16}{700}\right)^{2 / 11.5}=1.28$
$\mathrm{qz}=.00256(1.28)(1)(.85)\left(90^{2}\right)(1)=22.56$
P5th $=.699(23.44)+4.22=20.6$

P6th $=.699(22.56)+4.22=19.99$

## APPENDIX I: SHEAR WALL CALCS

## Stiffness

Wall 1, floor 1
L: $12.75^{\prime}$
h: $15.5^{\prime}$
b: 10 "
G: 3000000
E: 3600000
$\mathrm{I}=\frac{L^{3} b}{12}=\frac{12.75^{3}\left(\frac{10}{12}\right)}{12}=144 \mathrm{ft}^{4}$
$\mathrm{A}=12.75\left(\frac{10}{12}\right)=10.625 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{3 E I}{h^{5}}=\frac{3(3600000)(144)}{15.5^{3}}=417443$
$1 / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=.00000240$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{G A}{h}=\frac{3000000(10.625)}{15.5}=2056452$
$1 / K_{s}=.000000486$
$\mathrm{K}=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{K f}+\frac{1}{K s}}=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{.0000024}+\frac{1}{.000000486}}=347004$
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First Floor

| X-direction |  |  | $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{KX}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | K | 1831 |  | 66062.48 |
|  | X | 36.08 | 1301.766 |  |
| W4 | K | 709 |  | 19554.22 |
|  | X | 27.58 | 760.6564 |  |
| W6 | K | 85 |  | 1983.05 |
|  | X | 23.33 | 544.2889 |  |
| W7 | K | 554 |  | 28713.82 |
|  | X | 51.83 | 2393.553 |  |
| W8 | K | 152 |  | 6662.16 |
|  | X | 43.83 | 872.1486 |  |
| W9 | K | 554 |  | 23866.32 |
|  | X | 43.08 | 1653.606 |  |
| W10 | K | 152 |  | 7815.84 |
|  | X | 51.42 | 1200.361 |  |
| W11 | K | 554 |  | 68973 |
|  | X | 124.5 | 13810.82 |  |
| W12 | K | 152 |  | 18987.84 |
|  | X | 124.92 | 7084.538 |  |
| W13 | K | 554 |  | 73637.68 |
|  | X | 132.92 | 15742.05 |  |
| W14 | K | 152 |  | 20140 |
|  | X | 132.5 | 7970.385 |  |


| Y-Direction |  |  | $\mathrm{Y}^{2}$ | KY $^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| W1 | K | 347 |  | 12811.24 |
|  | Y | 36.92 | 1363.086 |  |
| W3 | K | 113 |  | 7232 |
|  | Y | 64 | 4096 |  |
| W5 | K | 7 |  | 323.75 |
|  | Y | 46.25 | 2139.063 |  |
| W7 | K | 554 |  | 18791.68 |
|  | Y | 33.92 | 522.3472 |  |
| W8 | K | 152 |  | 5978.16 |
|  | Y | 39.33 | 1378.252 |  |
| W9 | K | 554 |  | 16437.18 |
|  | Y | 29.67 | 399.6526 |  |
| W10 | K | 152 |  | 3686 |
|  | Y | 24.25 | 523.9673 |  |
| W11 | K | 554 |  | 16437.18 |
|  | Y | 29.67 | 399.6526 |  |
| W12 | K | 152 |  | 5978.16 |
|  | Y | 39.33 | 1378.252 |  |
| W13 | K | 554 |  | 18791.68 |
|  | Y | 33.92 | 522.3472 |  |
| W14 | K | 152 |  | 3686 |
|  | Y | 24.25 | 523.9673 |  |
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## Second Floor

| X-direction |  |  | $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ | KX ${ }^{2}$ | Y-Direction |  |  | $\mathrm{Y}^{2}$ | $\frac{K Y^{2}}{19013.8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | K | 2487 |  | 89730.96 | W1 | K | 515 |  |  |
|  | X | 36.08 | 1301.766 |  |  | Y | 36.92 | 1363.086 |  |
| W4 | K | 1021 |  | 28159.18 | W3 | K | 173 |  | 11072 |
|  | X | 27.58 | 760.6564 |  |  | Y | 64 | 4096 |  |
| W6 | K | 130 |  | 3032.9 | W5 | K | 11 |  | 508.75 |
|  | X | 23.33 | 544.2889 |  |  | Y | 46.25 | 2139.063 |  |
| W7 | K | 806 |  | 41774.98 | W7 | K | 806 |  | 27339.52 |
|  | X | 51.83 | 2393.553 |  |  | Y | 33.92 | 522.3472 |  |
| W8 | K | 230 |  | 10080.9 | W8 | K | 230 |  | 9045.9 |
|  | X | 43.83 | 872.1486 |  |  | Y | 39.33 | 1378.252 |  |
| W9 | K | 806 |  | 34722.48 | W9 | K | 806 |  | 23914.02 |
|  | X | 43.08 | 1653.606 |  |  | Y | 29.67 | 399.6526 |  |
| W10 | K | 230 |  | 11826.6 | W10 | K | 230 |  | 5577.5 |
|  | X | 51.42 | 1200.361 |  |  | Y | 24.25 | 523.9673 |  |
| W11 | K | 806 |  | 100347 | W11 | K | 806 |  | 23914.02 |
|  | X | 124.5 | 13810.82 |  |  | Y | 29.67 | 399.6526 |  |
| W12 | K | 230 |  | 28731.6 | W12 | K | 230 |  | 9045.9 |
|  | X | 124.92 | 7084.538 |  |  | Y | 39.33 | 1378.252 |  |
| W13 | K | 806 |  | 107133.5 | W13 | K | 806 |  | 27339.52 |
|  | X | 132.92 | 15742.05 |  |  | Y | 33.92 | 522.3472 |  |
| W14 | K | 230 |  | 30475 | W14 | K | 152 |  | 3686 |
|  | X | 132.5 | 7970.385 |  |  | Y | 24.25 | 523.9673 |  |
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Third-Penthouse Floor

| X-direction |  |  | $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ | KX ${ }^{2}$ | Y-Direction |  | $628$ | $\mathrm{Y}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{KY}^{2} \\ & \hline 23185.76 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | K | 2889 |  | 104235.1 | W1 | K |  |  |  |
|  | X | 36.08 | 1301.766 |  |  | Y | 36.92 | 1363.086 |  |
| W4 | K | 1223 |  | 33730.34 | W3 | K | 215 |  | 13760 |
|  | X | 27.58 | 760.6564 |  |  | Y | 64 | 4096 |  |
| W6 | K | 162 |  | 3779.46 | W5 | K | 14 |  | 647.5 |
|  | X | 23.33 | 544.2889 |  |  | Y | 46.25 | 2139.063 |  |
| W7 | K | 973 |  | 50430.59 | W7 | K | 973 |  | 33004.16 |
|  | X | 51.83 | 2393.553 |  |  | Y | 33.92 | 522.3472 |  |
| W8 | K | 285 |  | 12491.55 | W8 | K | 285 |  | 11209.05 |
|  | X | 43.83 | 872.1486 |  |  | Y | 39.33 | 1378.252 |  |
| W9 | K | 973 |  | 41916.84 | W9 | K | 973 |  | 28868.91 |
|  | X | 43.08 | 1653.606 |  |  | Y | 29.67 | 399.6526 |  |
| W10 | K | 285 |  | 14654.7 | W10 | K | 285 |  | 6911.25 |
|  | X | 51.42 | 1200.361 |  |  | Y | 24.25 | 523.9673 |  |
| W11 | K | 973 |  | 121138.5 | W11 | K | 973 |  | 28868.91 |
|  | X | 124.5 | 13810.82 |  |  | Y | 29.67 | 399.6526 |  |
| W12 | K | 285 |  | 35602.2 | W12 | K | 285 |  | 11209.05 |
|  | X | 124.92 | 7084.538 |  |  | Y | 39.33 | 1378.252 |  |
| W13 | K | 973 |  | 129331.2 | W13 | K | 973 |  | 33004.16 |
|  | X | 132.92 | 15742.05 |  |  | Y | 33.92 | 522.3472 |  |
| W14 | K | 285 |  | 37762.5 | W14 | K | 285 |  | 6911.25 |
|  | X | 132.5 | 7970.385 |  |  | Y | 24.25 | 523.9673 |  |

## Forces

Wall 2, First Floor
$\mathrm{K}=1831$
$\mathrm{x}=36.08$
$\mathrm{p}=74.8$
$\mathrm{e}=40.5$
$\mathrm{J}=446549$
$\mathrm{F}=\frac{\text { kxpe }}{J}=448 \mathrm{k}$
$\mathrm{L}=25.42 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{L} / \Sigma \mathrm{L}=\frac{25.42}{121.4}=.209$
$\mathrm{V}=74.8(.209)=15.665 \mathrm{k}$

Wall 2

$\mathrm{L}=12(25.42)=305.04 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{h}=10 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{s}_{\text {max }}=\min \quad\left(\frac{L}{5}=\frac{305.04}{5}=61 \mathrm{in}\right.$
( $3 \mathrm{~h}=30$ in
(18 in

$$
\Rightarrow \text { Use } 18 \text { in }
$$

Assume: \#7 bars
Moment Strength

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}= & 15.67(1635)+14.79(30.83)+14.77(44.16)+15.18(57.6)+15.66(70.83)+15.88(84.16)+ \\
& 20.06(97.5)+12.04(117.08) \\
= & 8051 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.6(8051)=12882 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$\rho_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{2(.6)}{10(18)}=.0067$
$\omega=.0067\left(\frac{60}{4}\right)=.101$
$\alpha=0$
$\mathrm{c}=\frac{.101}{.85(.85)+2(.101)}(305.04)=33.3$
$\mathrm{d}=.8(305.04)=244$

Ast $=2(.6)\left(\frac{305.04}{18}\right)=20.34$
$\mathrm{T}=20.34(60)\left(\frac{305.04-33.3}{305.04}\right)=1087$
$\mathrm{Mn}=1087\left(\frac{305.04}{2(12)}\right)=13816 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$\Phi \mathrm{Mn}=(.9) 13816=12434 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$
$12882>12434=>$ not ok
try $\mathrm{s}=16$ in
138387 > 12882 => ok

## APPENDIX J: COST AND SCHEDULE ANALYSIS

Concrete Slab: 033105350300
Normal Weight Concrete, Ready Mix
Extended Total: \$120.10/CY
Extended Total O\&P: \$131.76/CY
Total Cubic Yards: $\mathbf{3 , 0 2 3}$
Daily output: 180 (over 10 " slabs)
Days: 26 days

Slab Form
15-20ft ceiling
Total P\&P: 5.28
Total SF: 567.67
Daily Output: 495
Days: $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ days

Slab Reinforcement
Elevated slabs: 032110600400
Total O\&P: \$1616.67/ton
Total Weight: $\mathbf{1 3 5 . 9 3}$ tons
Daily Output: 2.90
Days: 47 days
Concrete Column: 033105350300
Normal Weight Concrete, Ready Mix
Extended Total: \$120.10/CY
Extended Total O\&P: \$131.76/CY
Total Cubic Yards: $\mathbf{2 2 3 5 . 5 9}$
Daily Output: 60
Days: 37 days

Column Reinforcement
Columns \#3-\#7: 032110600200
Total O\&P: \$2413.68/ton
Total Weight: 19.52 tons
Columns \#8-\#18: 032110600250
Total O\&P: \$1721.63/ton
Total Weight: 28.73 tons
Daily Output: 1.5
Days: 19.2 days

## Column Form:

12x12: 031113255650 (4 use)
Number: 12
14x 14
Number: 8
Daily Output: 180
16x16: 031113256000
Number: 6
18x18
Number: 4
$20 \times 20$
Number: 9
$22 \times 22$
Number: 8
$24 \times 24$
Number: 4
Days: 9
Shear Walls: 033105350300
Normal Weight Concrete, Ready Mix
Extended Total: \$120.10/CY
Extended Total O\&P: \$131.76/CY
Total Cubic Yards: 488.67
Daily Output: 60
Days: 8.1 days
Wall Form: 031113852400
8-16ft: \$9.90/sf
Total SF: 261
Daily Output: 280
Days: . $\mathbf{9 3}$ days
16+ft: \$11.10/sf
Total SF: 261
Daily Output: 235
Days: $\mathbf{1 . 1 1}$ days

## EXISTING BUILDING STEEL

Offices hopitals 7-15 stories: 051223770900
Total O\&P: \$3155.76/ton
Total weight: $\mathbf{4 5 5 . 3 4}$ tons
Labor Hours: 9.014/ton
Total Hours: 4104.43 hours = 171 days

## Schedule:

First Floor:

- Column Form: 1
- Columns: 9 days
- Slab Form: 1
- Slab reinforcement: 6
- Slab: 1
- Shear Wall w/ reinforcement: 1
- Total: 19 days

Second Floor - Seventh Floor

- Column Form: 1
- Columns: 6 days
- Slab Form: 1
- Slab reinforcement: 6
- Slab: 4
- Shear Wall w/ reinforcement: 1
- Total: 19days

Penthouse

- Column Form: 1
- Columns: 1 days
- Slab reinforcement: 6
- Slab: 1
- Shear Wall w/ reinforcement: 1
- Total: 10 days

Total Days: 143

| Concrete Slab |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | SF | CF | CY |
| 1st | 3266 | 2721.667 | 100.8024691 |
| 2-7th | 17862 | 14885 | 551.2962963 |
| Penthouse | 5374 | 4478.333 | 165.8641975 |
|  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 97950 | 81625 | 3023.148148 |
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| Columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Size | Area <br> (SF) | Height (ft) | CF | CY | $\#$ | Total <br> CY |
| 1st Floor | $14 \times 14$ | 1.36 | 17.5 | 23.82 | 7.94 | 22 | 174.68 |
|  | $18 \times 18$ | 2.25 | 17.5 | 39.38 | 13.13 | 4 | 52.50 |
|  | $20 \times 20$ | 2.78 | 17.5 | 48.61 | 16.20 | 8 | 129.63 |
|  | $24 \times 24$ | 4.00 | 17.5 | 70.00 | 23.33 | 8 | 186.67 |
| 2nd Floor | $12 \times 12$ | 1.00 | 14.67 | 14.67 | 4.89 | 22 | 107.58 |
|  | $18 \times 18$ | 2.25 | 14.67 | 33.01 | 11.00 | 12 | 132.03 |
|  | $22 \times 22$ | 3.36 | 14.67 | 49.29 | 16.43 | 8 | 131.44 |
| 3rd Floor | $12 \times 12 \mathrm{x}$ | 1.00 | 13.67 | 13.67 | 4.56 | 19 | 86.58 |
|  | $16 \times 16$ | 1.78 | 13.67 | 24.30 | 8.10 | 14 | 113.41 |
|  | $20 \times 20$ | 2.78 | 13.67 | 37.97 | 12.66 | 9 | 113.92 |
| 4th Floor | $12 \times 12$ | 1.00 | 13.67 | 13.67 | 4.56 | 22 | 100.25 |
|  | $16 \times 16$ | 1.78 | 13.67 | 24.30 | 8.10 | 14 | 113.41 |
|  | $18 \times 18$ | 2.25 | 13.67 | 30.76 | 10.25 | 2 | 20.51 |
|  | $24 \times 24$ | 4 | 13.67 | 54.68 | 18.23 | 4 | 72.91 |
|  | $13 \times 12$ | 1.00 | 13.67 | 13.67 | 4.56 | 22 | 100.25 |
| 5th Floor | $12 \times 1267$ | 18.61 | 6.20 | 8 | 49.62 |  |  |
|  | $14 \times 14$ | 1.36 | 13.67 | 24.30 | 8.10 | 12 | 97.21 |
|  | $16 \times 16$ | 1.78 | 13.67 | 13.67 | 4.56 | 30 | 136.70 |
| 6th Floor | $12 \times 12$ | 1.00 | 13.67 | 18.61 | 6.20 | 12 | 74.43 |
|  | $14 \times 14$ | 1.36 | 13.67 | 12.83 | 4.28 | 42 | 179.62 |
| 7th Floor | $12 \times 12$ | 1.00 | 12.83 | 15.57 | 5.19 | 12 | 62.28 |
| Penthouse | $10 \times 10$ | 0.69 | 22.42 |  |  | TOTAL | 2235.59 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Columns Formwork |  | DO/Crew | Crews | Days | Total O\&P | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Floor | SF |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14x14 | 1797 | 235 | 8 | 1 | 6.50 | \$11,678.33 |
| 18x18 | 420 | 238 | 2 | 1 | 6.52 | \$2,738.40 |
| 20x20 | 933 | 238 | 4 | 1 | 6.52 | \$6,085.33 |
| 24x24 | 1120 | 238 | 5 | 1 | 6.52 | \$7,302.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Second Floor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12x12 | 1291 | 225 | 6 | 1 | 6.74 | \$8,701.07 |
| 18x18 | 1056 | 238 | 4 | 1 | 6.50 | \$6,865.56 |
| 22x22 | 861 | 238 | 4 | 1 | 6.50 | \$5,594.16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Third Floor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12x12 | 1039 | 225 | 5 | 1 | 6.74 | \$7,002.32 |
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| 16x16 | 1021 | 235 | 4 | 1 | 6.50 | \$6,634.51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20x20 | 820 | 238 | 3 | 1 | 6.52 | \$5,347.70 |
| Fourth Floor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12x12 | 1203 | 225 | 5 | 1 | 6.74 | \$8,107.95 |
| 16x16 | 1021 | 235 | 4 | 1 | 6.50 | \$6,634.51 |
| 18x18 | 164 | 238 | 1 | 1 | 6.52 | \$1,069.54 |
| 24x24 | 437 | 238 | 2 | 1 | 6.52 | \$2,852.11 |
| Fifth Floor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12×12 | 1203 | 225 | 5 | 1 | 6.74 | \$8,107.95 |
| 14x14 | 510 | 235 | 2 | 1 | 6.50 | \$3,317.25 |
| 16x16 | 875 | 235 | 4 | 1 | 6.50 | \$5,686.72 |
| Sixth Floor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12x12 | 1640 | 225 | 7 | 1 | 6.74 | \$11,056.30 |
| 14x14 | 766 | 235 | 3 | 1 | 6.50 | \$4,975.88 |
| Seventh Floor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10x10 | 897 | 225 | 4 | 1 | 6.74 | \$6,044.43 |


| Shear Walls |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wall | Length | CY |  | Perimeter |
| 2 | 25.42 | 2586.06 |  | 52.51 |
| 4 | 16.83 | 1712.17 |  | 35.33 |
| 6 | 7.67 | 780.29 |  | 17.01 |
| 7 | 13.59 | 1382.56 |  | 28.85 |
| 8 | 4.28 | 435.42 |  | 10.23 |
| 9 | 13.59 | 1382.56 |  | 28.85 |
| 10 | 4.28 | 435.42 |  | 10.23 |
| 11 | 13.59 | 1382.56 |  | 28.85 |
| 12 | 4.28 | 435.42 |  | 10.23 |
| 13 | 13.59 | 1382.56 |  | 28.85 |
| 14 | 4.28 | 435.42 |  | 10.23 |
|  | TOTAL | 12350.43 |  | 261.13 |

